

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/802,265	MAGLICA, ANTHONY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason M. Han	2875	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Charles C. Wong. (3) S.P.E. Sandra O'Shea.
 (2) Jason M. Han. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 April 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 172,173,176,177 and 226-235.

Identification of prior art discussed: Barany (U.S. Patent 1,513,211); Blake et al. (U.S. Patent 1,661,784); Maglica (U.S. Patent 4,388,673); Kobayashi et al. (U.S. Patent 5,999,749); Lai (U.S. Patent 6,726,342).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant agreed to cancel Claims 172-173 and 176-177 for the purpose of advancing prosecution with no acquiescence with respect to actual patentability, or lack thereof, to the prior art. With regards to Independent Claim 226, the Applicant agreed to amend the claim language to incorporate a housing in order to overcome the prior art. With regard to Independent Claims 231 and 232, the Applicant agreed to amend the claim language to incorporate the actuating/actuation interface being externally operable by a user while the light source is electrically coupled to a source of energy.